



Practitioner's Docket No. U 014709-8

AF
2838

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re application of: Yasuhiko INAGAKI et al.

Serial No.: 10/615,232

Group No.: 2838

Filed: July 8, 2003

Examiner: Bao Q. Vu

For: POWER SUPPLY CIRCUIT CAPABLE OF EFFICIENTLY SUPPLYING A SUPPLY
VOLTAGE

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
2838

*entered by
RCE filed
4/18/06
85 mins
4/26/06*

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand corner. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of Sept. 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 19-20).

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION—TRANSMITTAL

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*
(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)


37 C.F.R. 1.10*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"
Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, to (571)-273-8300


Signature

Date: March 16, 2006

Steven I. Wallach
(type or print name of person certifying)

*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.